

## ***WHAT IF THE EU ETS WAS WELL-FUNCTIONING?***

François Boisseleau, Chief Analyst, GDF SUEZ<sup>1</sup>, Center of Expertise in Economic Modeling and Studies,  
Boulevard du Régent 8, 1000 Brussels, Belgium,  
Fax (+32) 2 518 66 86, Phone (+32) 2 213 54 84, Francois.Boisseleau@gdfsuez.com

### **Overview**

The EU ETS is one of the centerpieces for the European emissions reduction objectives and represents an ambitious attempt to create a genuine market for CO<sub>2</sub>. Until now, Europe seems to be on track for achieving its emission target of minus 20%. Yet since several months many observers have been complaining about the functioning of the EU ETS and claimed for regulatory intervention. What do these critics claim is wrong with the EU ETS? Is there really anything wrong? Can its shortcoming be remedied by some intervention, or is the whole approach fundamentally flawed?

The EU ETS has been under constant scrutiny by the European commission, European industry and the media since its launch in 2005. The criticisms concern mainly the level of CO<sub>2</sub> prices today that are (almost) unanimously considered as "too low". This paper discusses whether CO<sub>2</sub> prices are effectively too low and if the different proposals on the table would address the issue.

### **Methods**

This paper offers a systematic review of the current discussion around the review of the EU ETS. This paper argues that the statement "CO<sub>2</sub> price are too low" is a perception with limited economic foundation. Secondly it discusses the different proposals (e.g. set aside) of intervention which, regardless of their impact, would create a precedent. Third the paper addresses the key issue which is not with the EU ETS but rather with (1) the global economic crisis and (2) the lack of consistency of the EU policy. Finally different possible scenarios for the future of the EU ETS are described.

### **Results**

This paper explains that EU ETS is functioning in accordance with the way it has been designed. CO<sub>2</sub> prices are not too low, they simply reflect the fact that there is limited need for abatement, and mainly represent an option value post 2020. Current price levels do not justify new investment, but there is simply no need for investment in the short-term. An important difficulty is the existence of parallel mechanisms that create implicit CO<sub>2</sub> prices. Low CO<sub>2</sub> prices are a collateral damage of overlapping EU policies. Over the long term the EU has to decide whether it wants to rely more on implicit or explicit CO<sub>2</sub> prices and which space is left for market mechanisms.

### **Conclusion**

In conclusion CO<sub>2</sub> prices today are the result of two main factors: bad luck and market design. Bad luck due to the economic crisis that was not anticipated at the start of the EU ETS and led to an "easy target". Arguably policy makers can be forgiven for that. Market design is linked to the overlapping targets and policy tools. The EU ETS creates an explicit price of CO<sub>2</sub>. What matters for the EU as a whole is the implicit price of CO<sub>2</sub>. The EU ETS being the only market driven tool it adapts to the development driven by the other tools. Any intervention, as suggested by many observers, would arguably create a precedent and reduce the long-term credibility of the EU ETS.

---

<sup>1</sup> The statements, opinions, and ideas expressed within this paper are the sole responsibility of the author. GDF SUEZ is not responsible in any way, manner or form for these statements, opinions, and ideas.

## References

- European Commission (2003), "Council Regulation of 16 December 2002 on the implementation of the rules on competition laid down in Articles 81 and 82 of the Treaty", OJ L 1, 04.01.2003
- European Commission (2003), "Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2003/87/EC 13 October 2003 establishing a scheme for greenhouse gas emission allowance trading within the Community and amending Council Directive 96/61/EC"
- European Commission (2010), Analysis of Options to Move Beyond 20% Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions and Assessing the Risk of Carbon Leakage, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, COM(2010) 265 final, Brussels
- European Commission (2011) proposal for a directive of the European Parliament (EP) and of the Council on energy efficiency and repealing Directives 2004/8/EC (on CHP) and 2006/32/EC
- Egenhofer, C. Alessi, M. Georgiev, A. and Fujiwara, N (2011) "The EU Emissions Trading System and Climate Policy towards 2050: Real incentives to reduce emissions and drive innovation?" CEPS Special Reports, 12 January 2011
- Ellerman, A. Denny (2008), "New Entrant and Closure Provisions: How do they Distort?" The Energy Journal, Special Issue, pp.63-76.
- Grubb, M. and K. Neuhoﬀ (2006), "Allocation and Competitiveness in the EU Emission Trading Scheme: Policy overview", in Emission Trading and Competitiveness. Allocations, Incentives and Industrial Competitiveness under the EU Emissions Trading Scheme, Description and Assessment of EU CO<sub>2</sub> Regulations s 85 p. 7-30, Special issue of Climate Policy, Colchester, Earthscan Publications Ltd
- Grubb, M., T. Jamasb and M. Pollitt (eds.) (2008) "Delivering a low carbon electricity system". Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hepburn, C. M. Grubb, K. Neuhoﬀ, F. Matthes, M. Tse (2006). "Auctioning of EU ETS Phase II Allowances: How and Why?" . Climate Policy Special Issue (6/1):135-158
- Holland, S. Knittel, C. and Hughes, J. (2007) "Greenhouse Gas Reductions under Low Carbon Fuel Standards?" NBER Working Paper 13266
- Pollitt, M.G. (2008) "The future of electricity (and gas) regulation in a low-carbon policy world." The Energy Journal, 29(S2): 63-94
- Hope, C. and D. Newbery (2007) "Calculating the social cost of carbon". Chapter 2 of Grubb, M., T. Jamasb and M. Pollitt (eds.), Delivering a Low Carbon Electricity System: Technologies, Economics and Policy, Cambridge University Press.
- Martin, R. Muûls, M. and Wagner, U (2011) Climate Change, Investment and Carbon Markets and Prices – Evidence from Manager Interviews, working paper Climate Policy Initiative
- Neuhoﬀ, K., M. Grubb, and K. Keats (2005). "Impact of the allowance allocation on prices and efficiency". Cambridge, Electricity Policy Research Group Judge Institute of Management University of Cambridge.
- Smeers, Y. (2007), "Description and Assessment of EU CO<sub>2</sub> Regulations", in J. Lesourne and J. H. Keppler (eds), Abatement of CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions in the European Union, "Les Etudes de l'Ifri", Ifri, Paris.
- Spencer, T. Guérin, E (2012) "Time to reform the EU Emission Trading Scheme", The European Energy Review 2012
- Stern et al (2006) "The Economics of Climate Change" HM Treasury, London
- Vivid Economics (2010), "The implicit price of carbon in the electricity sector of six major economies", report prepared for The Climate Institute, October 2010
- Weitzman, Martin, 1974, "Prices vs. Quantities," Review of Economic Studies 41:477-491
- Weitzman, M. (2007). "A Review of The Stern Review on the Economics of Climate Change." Journal of Economic Literature, 45(September).