MARKET AND REGULATION DESIGN OF FUTURE ELECTRICITY MARKETS: BETWEEN EVOLUTIVE AND DISRUPTIVE ARCHITECTURES

Manuel Villavicencio, PSL Research University (Paris-Dauphine Univ.), +33(0)6 66 62 35 23, manuel.villavicencio@dauphine.psl.eu

Overview

The issue of renewable energy integration and power system flexibility has received increasing attention during the last years because of the important deployment of variable renewable energy (VRE) sources on the grid introducing higher variability and uncertainty on the system along with the phase-out of traditional providers of flexibility. These issues introduce new challenges for system operation regarding the continuous balance between supply and demand, as well as the transparent and credible price signals driving the right incentives for energy commitment and dispatch in the short-term, and to secure capacity and flexibility investments in the long-term. Additionally, novel grid management issues appear due to the scattered location of this sources on the distributed network or even at the user level (e.g., behind-the-meter generation and storage capabilities such as micro-generation, batteries, EVs, etc.), and the increasingly active role of the consumer (e.g. prosumer, demand response). Moreover, new information and communications technologies (ICT) and digitalization enablers (e.g. distributed ledger technologies, artificial intelligence, etc.) are expected to create a substantial disruption on the main ontology of power grids. The power industry is experiencing an entire revolution. The pace of this transformation seems to be accelerating but its consequences remains anyhow endemically uncertain. Technical progress, cost improvements, energy policies and technology adoption experience multiple and complex interactions. Markets designs and regulatory frameworks define possible business models so determining the relative profitability of technologies, so shaping the industry landscape, but are also affected by them in return in a co-evolutionary manner. Therefore, any discussion on market and regulation design should be based on innovation-oriented and future-proof principles.

Current market designs and regulatory frameworks face difficulties on handling with adecuate architectures defining the roles, responsibilities and interactions between market facilitators, system operators and market players. Even if recent developments on this field are well aware of these issues, and ground-breaking initiatives are being discussed and implemented across different markets, there is still a lack of principles, categories and terminology allowing a definite comparison of different market architectures.

In this study we propose a review of existing and innovative market and regulatory designs of electricity markets to come up with a taxonomy of alternatives, allowing to identify the key dimensions considered. The market architectures reviewed are classed according to three categories regarding their intrinsic characteristics, so far: moderate, evolutive and disruptive designs. From this point, we are able to propose a consisting interpretation grid constituted by the key harmonization challenges identified, such as pricing issues for temporal and spatial coordination in a future with near-zero energy marginal cost, dealing with real-time markets (i.e. energy balancing and ancillary services) short-term ones (i.e. day ahead and intraday) and capacity remuneration mechanism in the long-term, their scope (i.e., local versus global products) and their implications on a continuum towards decentralization of decision making (from configuration markets based on competitive tenders or PPAs, to transactive or peer-to-peer markets).

Methods

Qualitative analysis. Survey of game-changers, and review and comparison of existing alternatives. Taxonomy of market design alternatives.

Results

The main drivers of transformation are addressed and a taxonomy of market designs is proposed. Thus, key principles and good practices for designing future electricity market architectures are introduced.

Conclusions

The main drivers of the revolution taking place on the power industry are identified and their implications explained. Market and regulation design have a relevant role on incentivizing innovation but are also prone to become obsolete in cases of disruptive industrial change. Thus, they need to integrate these aspects to be in line with economic

Submission number 202 to 7th ELAEE 2019: DO NOT DISTRIBUTE!

efficiency and to allow a feasible and efficient green energy transition. The reading grid proposed in this study not only allows to class current evolving and disruptive design alternatives, but also allows to propose new more advanced ones.

References

- De Vries, L.J., and R.A. Verzijlbergh. 2018. "How Renewable Energy Is Reshaping European Electricity Market Design." *Economics of Energy & Environmental Policy* 7 (2): 31–50. doi:10.5547/2160-5890.7.2.ldev.
- Conejo, Antonio J., and Ramteen Sioshansi. 2018. "Rethinking Restructured Electricity Market Design: Lessons Learned and Future Needs." *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems* 98 (January). Elsevier: 520–30. doi:10.1016/j.ijepes.2017.12.014.
- Mengelkamp, Esther, Johannes Gärttner, Kerstin Rock, Scott Kessler, Lawrence Orsini, and Christof Weinhardt. 2018. "Designing Microgrid Energy Markets: A Case Study: The Brooklyn Microgrid." *Applied Energy* 210. Elsevier Ltd: 870–80. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.06.054.
- Blazquez, Jorge, Rolando Fuentes-Bracamontes, Carlo Andrea Bollino, and Nora Nezamuddin. 2018. "The Renewable Energy Policy Paradox." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 82 (September 2017). Elsevier Ltd: 1–5. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2017.09.002.
- Hu, Jing, Robert Harmsen, Wina Crijns-Graus, Ernst Worrell, and Machteld van den Broek. 2018. "Identifying Barriers to Large-Scale Integration of Variable Renewable Electricity into the Electricity Market: A Literature Review of Market Design." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 81 (September 2016). Elsevier Ltd: 2181–95. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2017.06.028.
- Peng, Donna, and Rahmatallah Poudineh. 2017. *Electricity Market Design for a Decarbonised Future: An Integrated Approach*. doi:10.26889/9781784670948.
- Keay, Malcolm, and David Robinson. 2017. "The Decarbonised Electricity System of the Future: The 'Two Market' Approach. The Oxfors Institute for Energy Studies," no. June: 1–16.
- Park, Chankook, and Taeseok Yong. 2017. "Comparative Review and Discussion on P2P Electricity Trading." *Energy Procedia* 128. Elsevier B.V.: 3–9. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2017.09.003.
- Zhang, Chenghua, Jianzhong Wu, Chao Long, and Meng Cheng. 2017. "Review of Existing Peer-to-Peer Energy Trading Projects." *Energy Procedia* 105. The Author(s): 2563–68. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2017.03.737.
- Cramton, Peter. 2017. "Electricity Market Design." *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 33 (4): 589–612. doi:10.1093/oxrep/grx041.
- Newbery, David, Michael Pollitt, Robert Ritz, and Wadim Strielkowski. 2017. "Market Design for a High-Renewables European Electricity System." 1726. EPRG Working Paper. Cambridge. http://www.eprg.group.cam.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/1711-Text.pdf.
- Fuentes-Bracamontes, Rolando. 2016. "Is Unbundling Electricity Services the Way Forward for the Power Sector?" *Electricity Journal* 29 (9). Elsevier Inc.: 16–20. doi:10.1016/j.tej.2016.10.006.
- Ela, E., M. Milligan, A. Bloom, A. Botterud, A. Townsend, T. Levin, and B. A. Frew. 2016. "Wholesale Electricity Market Design with Increasing Levels of Renewable Generation: Incentivizing Flexibility in System Operations." *Electricity Journal* 29 (4). Elsevier Inc.: 51–60. doi:10.1016/j.tej.2016.05.001.
- Corneli, Steve, Steve Kihm, and Lisa Schwartz. 2015. "Electric Industry Structure and Regulatory Responses in a High Distributed Energy Resources Future." *LBNL*, *NRG*, *Seventhwave* LBNL-10038 (1).
- Newbery, David M. 2015. "Missing Money and Missing Markets: Reliability, Capacity Auctions and Interconnectors." *Energy Policy*, no. February 2015. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2015.10.028.
- Giotitsas, Chris, Alex Pazaitis, and Vasilis Kostakis. 2015. "A Peer-to-Peer Approach to Energy Production." *Technology in Society* 42. Elsevier Ltd: 28–38. doi:10.1016/j.techsoc.2015.02.002.
- Hogan, William W. 2014. "Electricity Market Design and Efficient Pricing: Applications for New England and Beyond." *The Electricity Journal* 27 (7): 23–49. doi:10.1016/j.tej.2014.07.009.
- Joskow, Paul L, and others. 2008. "Lessons Learned from Electricity Market Liberalization." *The Energy Journal* 29 (2): 9–42. doi:10.5547/ISSN0195-6574-EJ-Vol29-NoSI2-3.
- Green, Richard. 2008. "Electricity Wholesale Markets: Designs Now in a Low-Carbon Future." *Energy Journal* 29 (SPEC. ISS.): 95–124. doi:10.5547/ISSN0195-6574-EJ-Vol29-NoSI2-6.
- Rochet, Author Jean-charles, Jean Tirole, and Jean-charles Rochet. 2006. "Two-Sided Markets: A Progress Report." *RAND Journal of Economics* 37 (3): 645–67.
- Wilson, Robert. 2002. "Architecture of Power Markets." *Econometrica* 70 (4): 1299–1340. doi:10.1111/1468-0262.00334.
- Chao, Hung-po, and Robert Wilson. 2001. "Design of Wholesale Electricity Markets." *Electric Power Research Institute*, 115.