

CHINA'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY: RE-ESTIMATION INCORPORATING HUMAN CAPITAL AND THE ANALYSIS OF ITS DISTRIBUTION DYNAMICS

Lingdi Zhao, Ocean University of China, Phone +86 13589209755, E-mail: lingdizhao512@163.com
Jian Feng, Ocean University of China, Phone +86 15092008922, E-mail: fengjian0686@126.com

Overview

Energy conservation and carbon emission reduction have recently been important issues for China's low-carbon development. Almost all previous studies on energy efficiency evaluation have focused on the number of employees as the labor input but ignored the qualitative information about laborers, which may induce a biased evaluation. In the present paper, we utilize an SBM model to re-estimate the energy efficiency with CO₂ emissions of China's 29 provinces from 2003 to 2011 with a modified labor input—human capital stock. A comparative analysis is first presented to distinguish between energy performance indicators with a traditional labor input (the number of employees), TEPI, and with a modified labor input (human capital stock), MEPI. The efficiency evaluation with the number of employees as the labor input underestimates energy efficiency. The evaluation results indicate that there was a declining trend of energy efficiency with CO₂ emissions in China. The eastern area performed the best, and the western area had the worst performance. In addition, the kernel density estimation of MEPI showed that the distribution curves had a left shift overall and in the three regions, which reveals worsening energy efficiency performance in the sample years, and the efficiency distribution displayed differently in the three regions.

The paper is organised as follows: After the introduction the second section proposes an SBM-based evaluation model for measuring energy efficiency incorporating CO₂ emissions. Section 3 presents the data and describes the variables in detail. In section 4, the empirical study measures China's energy efficiency performances in different provinces in the sample period. Section 5 concludes this study.

Methods

SBM model and Kernel density estimation

Results

First, the 29 provinces could reduce energy consumption by 49.3% with a modified labor input (human capital stock), and by 51.0% with a traditional labor input (the number of employees) annually.

Second, the average scores of MEPI in the three regions showed that the eastern area had the best energy efficiency performance and that the western area had the worst performance, but the scores displayed a declining trend in efficiency in the three regions.

Third, a non-parametric method, kernel density estimation, was also applied to analyze the distribution dynamics of energy efficiency in China and its three regions. It was found that almost all the distribution curves in the entire country and the three regions had a left-shift.

Conclusions

Firstly, there was a statically significant difference between the evaluation results of MEPI (energy efficiency performance indicator with a modified labor input—human capital stock) and TEPI (energy efficiency performance indicator with traditional labor input—the number of employees), and efficiency would be underestimated with a traditional labor input not only for the entire country but also for the three regions.

Secondly, the energy efficiency in China has not improved substantially and most provinces are quit far away from the production frontier. Chinese government should endeavor to improve energy efficiency and reduce CO₂ emissions in order to pursue a sustainable development.

References

- Acemoglu, D., Zilibotti, F. (2001). Productivity Differences. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116(2), 563-606.
- Caselli, F., Coleman, W. J. (2002). The US technology frontier. *American Economic Review*, 92(2), 148-152.
- Charnes, A., Cooper W. W., Rhodes E. (1978). Measuring the efficiency of decision making units. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2(6), 429-444.
- Chen, J., Song, M., Xu, L. (2015). Evaluation of environmental efficiency in China using data envelopment analysis. *Ecological Indicators*, 52, 577-583.
- Choi, Y., Zhang, N., Zhou, P. (2012). Efficiency and abatement costs of energy-related CO₂ emissions in China: a slacks-based efficiency measure. *Applied Energy*, 98, 198-208.
- Doménech, R. (2006). Human capital in growth regressions: how much difference does data quality make? *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 4(1), 1-36.
- Fleisher, B., Li, H., Zhao, M. Q. (2010). Human capital, economic growth, and regional inequality in China. *Journal of Development Economics*, 92(2), 215-231.
- Jorgenson, D., Fraumeni, B. M. (1989). The accumulation of human and nonhuman capital, 1948-84, in Lipsey, R. E., Tice, H. S. (Eds.), *The measurement of saving, investment, and wealth* (pp. 227-286). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Kalemli-Ozcan, S., Ryder, H. E., Weil, D. N. (2000). Mortality decline, human capital investment, and economic growth. *Journal of Development Economics*, 62(1), 1-23.
- Kendrick, J. W. (1976). *The formation and stocks of total capital*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Lan, J., Kakinaka, M., Huang, X. (2012). Foreign direct investment, human capital and environmental pollution in China. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 51(2), 255-275.
- Lan, J., Munro, A. (2013). Environmental compliance and human capital: Evidence from Chinese industrial firms. *Resource and Energy Economics*, 35(4), 534-557.
- Lucas, R. E. (1988). On the mechanics of economic development. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 22(1), 3-42.
- Mandal, S. K. (2010). Do undesirable output and environmental regulation matter in energy efficiency analysis? Evidence from Indian cement industry. *Energy Policy*, 38(10), 6076-6083.
- Manderson, E., Kneller, R. (2012). Environmental regulations, outward FDI and heterogeneous firms: are countries used as pollution havens? *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 51(3), 317-352.
- Pan, X., Liu, Q., Peng, X. (2015). Spatial club convergence of regional energy efficiency in China. *Ecological Indicators*, 51, 25-30.
- Silverman, B. W. (1986). *Density estimation for statistics and data analysis*. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Wu, F., Fan, L. W., Zhou, P., Zhou D. Q. (2012). Industrial energy efficiency with CO₂ emissions in China: A nonparametric analysis. *Energy Policy*, 49, 164-172.
- Zhang, N., Kong, F., Yu, Y. (2015). Measuring ecological total-factor energy efficiency incorporating regional heterogeneities in China. *Ecological Indicators*, 51, 165-172.
- Wu, A. H., Cao Y. Y., Liu B. (2014). Energy efficiency evaluation for regions in China: an application of DEA and Malmquist indices. *Energy Efficiency*, 7, 429-439.
- Yang L., Ouyang H., Fang K., et al. (2015). Evaluation of regional environmental efficiencies in China based on super-efficiency-DEA. *Ecological Indicators*, 51, 13-19.