

# Appendix: Market Power with Tradable Performance-Based CO<sub>2</sub> Emission Standards in the Electricity Sector

Yihsu Chen\*      Makoto Tanaka†      Afzal S. Siddiqui‡

## Appendix A Proofs of Propositions

### Proof of Proposition 3.1

Noting the assumption that  $E_i < F < E_j$ , we have  $a' = -\frac{(F-E_1)}{F-E_2} > 0$ , and  $b' = \frac{E_1-E_2}{F-E_2} > 0$ . Recall also the assumption that  $p' < 0$ ,  $p'' \leq 0$ ,  $c'_i > 0$ , and  $c''_i \geq 0$ . Let  $m^*(g_1)$  denote the left-hand side of Eq. (6). We first calculate the derivative of  $m^*(g_1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} m^{*'}(g_1) &= p'b' - c''_1 - (E_1 - F)f' \\ &= p'b' - c''_1 - \frac{(E_1 - F)}{E_2 - F} (p'b' - c''_2 a') \\ &< 0. \end{aligned} \tag{A-1}$$

Thus,  $m^*(g_1)$  is strictly decreasing, and  $g_1^*$ , which is a solution to  $m^*(g_1) = 0$  (or Eq. (6)), is unique if an interior solution exists. Next, let  $m^c(g_1)$  denote the left-hand-side of Eq. (10) and calculate the derivative as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} m^{c'}(g_1) &= p'b' + p' + g_1 p'' b' - c''_1 - (E_1 - F)h' \\ &= p'b' + p' + g_1 p'' b' - c''_1 - \frac{(E_1 - F)}{E_2 - F} (p'b' + a p'' b' + p'a' - c''_2 a') \\ &< 0. \end{aligned} \tag{A-2}$$

Hence,  $m^c(g_1)$  is strictly decreasing, and  $g_1^c$ , which is a solution for  $m^c(g_1) = 0$  (or Eq.(10)), is unique if an interior solution exists. We now compare  $g_1^*$  and  $g_1^c$  by calculating the following:

$$\begin{aligned} m^c(g_1) - m^*(g_1) &= p'g_1 - (h - f)(E_1 - F) \\ &= p'g_1 - \frac{(E_1 - F)}{E_2 - F} a p' \\ &< 0. \end{aligned} \tag{A-3}$$

---

\*Corresponding author. Associate Professor, Baskin School of Engineering, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, United States. Email: [yihsuchen@ucsc.edu](mailto:yihsuchen@ucsc.edu).

†Professor, National Graduate Institute for Policy Studies (GRIPS), Tokyo, Japan. Email: [mtanaka@grips.ac.jp](mailto:mtanaka@grips.ac.jp).

‡Professor, Department of Statistical Science, University College London, United Kingdom, Professor, Department of Computer and Systems Sciences, Stockholm University, Sweden, and Affiliated Professor, Department of Decision Sciences, HEC Montréal, Canada. Email: [afzal.siddiqui@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:afzal.siddiqui@ucl.ac.uk).

Since  $m^c(g_1) < m^*(g_1)$ , we obtain  $g_1^c < g_1^*$ . We then compare  $g_1^c$  and  $g_1^s$  with the assumption of interior solutions by calculating the following:

$$\begin{aligned} m^s(g_1) - m^c(g_1) &= g_1(b' - 1)p' - (E_1 - F)g_1h' \\ &= -\frac{(E_1 - F)}{E_2 - F} \left( p' + p'b' + ap''b' + p'a' - c_2''a' \right) g_1 \quad (\text{A-4}) \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from  $m^s(g_1) < m^c(g_1)$  that  $g_1^s < g_1^c$  holds for any interior solutions. We, thus, obtain  $g_1^s < g_1^c < g_1^*$ . Since  $a' > 0$ ,  $g_2 = a(g_1)$  is strictly increasing. We, thus, have  $g_2^s < g_2^c < g_2^*$ .  $\square$

### Proof of Proposition 3.2

It is straightforward from Proposition 3.1 that  $g^s < g^c < g^*$ . Since  $p' < 0$ ,  $p(g)$  is strictly decreasing. Hence,  $p^s > p^c > p^*$  holds.  $\square$

### Proof of Proposition 3.3

From Eq. (2),  $e = E_1g_1 + E_2g_2 = Fg$ . Hence,  $e$ , which is a function of  $g$ , is strictly increasing since  $e' = F > 0$ . It follows from this and Proposition 3.2 that  $e^s < e^c < e^*$ .  $\square$

## Appendix B Nomenclature

### Indices and Sets

- $\Gamma$ : upper-level decision variables
- $\Xi$ : lower-level primal decision variables
- $\Psi$ : lower-level dual variables
- $\Phi$ : decision variables for MILP
- $i \in \mathcal{I}$ : power producers
- $s$ : strategic producer index
- $j \in \mathcal{J}$ : non-strategic producers<sup>1</sup>
- $k \in \mathcal{K}$ : discrete generation level
- $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ : transmission lines
- $n', n \in \mathcal{N}$ : power network nodes
- $u', u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,i}$ : generation units of producer  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  at network node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$

### Parameters

- $B_{n,n'}$ : element  $(n, n')$  of node susceptance matrix, where  $n, n' \in \mathcal{N}$  ( $1/\Omega$ )
- $C_{n,i,u}$ : generation cost of unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,i}$  from producer  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  ( $\$/\text{MW}$ )
- $D_n^{\text{int}}$ : intercept of linear inverse demand function at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  ( $\$/\text{MW}$ )
- $D_n^{\text{slp}}$ : slope of linear inverse demand function at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  ( $\$/\text{MW}^2$ )
- $E_{n,i,u}$ : CO<sub>2</sub> emission rate of unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,i}$  from producer  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  (t/MWh)
- $\bar{F}$ : regulated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions rate under performance (rate)-based policy (t/MW)
- $\bar{F}$ : regulated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions cap under mass-based policy (t)
- $G_{n,i,u}$ : maximum generation capacity of unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,i}$  from producer  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  (MW)
- $H_{\ell,n}$ : element  $(\ell, n)$  of network transfer matrix, where  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  ( $1/\Omega$ )

---

<sup>1</sup> $\mathcal{J} \cap \{s\} = \emptyset, \mathcal{J} \cup \{s\} = \mathcal{I}$

$K_\ell$ : maximum capacity of power line  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$  (MW)

$\overline{G}_{n,s,u,k}$ : discrete generation level  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  of strategic producer's unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s}$  located at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  (MW)

$\overline{E}_{n,s,u,k}$ : discrete CO<sub>2</sub> emissions associated with discrete generation level  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  of strategic producer's unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,i}$  located at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  (t)

$M^\lambda, M^p, M^y, M, \overline{M}, \check{M}, \hat{M}, \tilde{M}, \underline{M}$ : large constants used in disjunctive constraints and binary expansion

## Primal Variables

$g_{n,i,u}$ : generation at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  by producer  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  using unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,i}$  (MW)

$d_n$ : consumption at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  (MW)

$v_n$ : voltage angle at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  (rad)

$y_{n,s,u,k}$ : strategic generator's electricity sales revenue at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  using unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s}$  at generation level  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  (\$)

$z_{n,s,u,k}$ : strategic generator's CO<sub>2</sub> permit revenue (or cost) at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  using unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s}$  at generation level  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  (\$)

$q_{n,s,u,k}^y$ : auxiliary variable to linearize the strategic generator's objective function with respect to electricity sales at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  using unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s}$  at generation level  $k \in \mathcal{K}$

$p_{n,s,u,k}$ : auxiliary variable used to associate CO<sub>2</sub> permit price for the output level of producer at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  using unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s}$  at generation level  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  (\$/t)

## Dual Variables

$\beta_{n,i,u}$ : shadow price on generation capacity at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  for generation unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,i}$  of producer  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  (\$/MW)

$\overline{\mu}_\ell, \underline{\mu}_\ell$ : shadow prices on transmission capacity for transmission line  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$  (\$/MW)

$\lambda_n$ : market-clearing price at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  (\$/MW)

$\nu$ : hub price (\$/MW)

$\rho$ : shadow price on emissions rate (\$/t)

## Integer Variables

$q_n^\lambda$ : auxiliary variable used to indicate whether market-clearing price at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  is positive

$q_{n,s,u,k}$ : auxiliary variable used to discretize the strategic generator's electricity generation at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  using unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s}$  at generation level  $k \in \mathcal{K}$

$\overline{r}_{n,j,u}$ : auxiliary variable used to handle the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) condition with respect to non-strategic producer  $j \in \mathcal{J}$ 's generation at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  using unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,j}$  and  $g_{n,j,u}$

$r_n$ : auxiliary variable used to handle the KKT condition with respect to consumption at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $d_n$

$\check{r}_{n,j,u}$ : auxiliary variable used to handle complementarity condition between generation constraint of non-strategic producer  $j \in \mathcal{J}$ 's unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,j}$  located at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  and shadow price of generation capacity

$\hat{r}_\ell$ : auxiliary variable used to handle the complementarity condition between transmission line  $\ell$ 's capacity constraint and the shadow price in positive direction

$\tilde{r}_\ell$ : auxiliary variable used to handle the complementarity condition between transmission line  $\ell$ 's capacity constraint and the shadow price in negative direction

$\underline{r}$ : auxiliary variable used to handle the complementarity condition between the emissions constraint and the CO<sub>2</sub> price

## Appendix C KKT Conditions for Lower-Level Equilibrium Problem

$$0 \leq g_{n,j,u} \perp D_n^{\text{slp}} \sum_{u' \in \mathcal{U}_{n,j}} g_{n,j,u'} + C_{n,j,u} + \beta_{n,j,u} - \lambda_n + \rho (E_{n,j,u} - F) \geq 0, \forall n, \forall j, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,j} \quad (\text{C-5})$$

$$0 \leq d_n \perp -D_n^{\text{int}} + D_n^{\text{slp}} d_n + \lambda_n \geq 0, \forall n \quad (\text{C-6})$$

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \bar{\mu}_\ell H_{\ell,n} - \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \underline{\mu}_\ell H_{\ell,n} - \sum_{n' \in \mathcal{N}} (\lambda_{n'} - \nu) B_{n',n} = 0 \text{ with } v_n \text{ u.r.s.}, \forall n \quad (\text{C-7})$$

$$0 \leq \beta_{n,j,u} \perp G_{n,j,u} - g_{n,j,u} \geq 0, \forall n, \forall j, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,j} \quad (\text{C-8})$$

$$0 \leq \bar{\mu}_\ell \perp K_\ell - \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} H_{\ell,n} v_n \geq 0, \forall \ell \quad (\text{C-9})$$

$$0 \leq \underline{\mu}_\ell \perp K_\ell + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} H_{\ell,n} v_n \geq 0, \forall \ell \quad (\text{C-10})$$

$$d_n - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,i}} g_{n,i,u} + \sum_{n' \in \mathcal{N}} B_{n,n'} v_{n'} = 0 \text{ with } \lambda_n \text{ u.r.s.}, \forall n \quad (\text{C-11})$$

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_{n' \in \mathcal{N}} B_{n,n'} v_{n'} = 0 \text{ with } \nu \text{ u.r.s.} \quad (\text{C-12})$$

$$0 \leq \rho \perp \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,i}} (F - E_{n,i,u}) g_{n,i,u} \geq 0 \quad (\text{C-13})$$

## Appendix D MILP Reformulation

The complementarity conditions in Eqs. (C-5)–(C-6), (C-8)–(C-10), and (C-13) can be converted to disjunctive constraints using sufficiently large constants (Fortuny-Amat and McCarl, 1981; Gabriel and Leuthold, 2010). Another computational difficulty is the bilinear terms,  $\lambda_n g_{n,s,u}$  and  $\rho (E_{n,s,u} - F) g_{n,s,u}$ , in Eq. (17a). We apply binary expansion to linearize those bilinear terms (Barroso et al., 2006; Gabriel and Leuthold, 2010). Taking discrete generation level  $k$  of strategic producer's unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,i}$  located at node  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ , i.e.,  $\bar{G}_{n,s,u,k}$ , we consider the following linearization.

$$y_{n,s,u,k} = \begin{cases} \lambda_n \bar{G}_{n,s,u,k} & \text{if } q_{n,s,u,k} = q_n^\lambda = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{D-1})$$

$$z_{n,s,u,k} = \begin{cases} \rho (E_{n,s,u} - F) \bar{G}_{n,s,u,k} & \text{if } q_{n,s,u,k} = \underline{r} = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{D-2})$$

If generation level  $\bar{G}_{n,s,u,k}$  is selected and power price  $\lambda_n$  is positive, then we have the strategic generator's electricity sales revenue,  $y_{n,s,u,k}$ . Moreover, if generation level  $\bar{G}_{n,s,u,k}$  is selected and the CO<sub>2</sub> allowance price  $\rho$  is positive, then we have strategic generator's

CO<sub>2</sub> permit revenue (or cost),  $z_{n,s,u,k}$ . Our formulation is an extension of Gabriel and Leuthold (2010) in which one type of bilinear term was considered.

$$\text{Maximize}_{\Phi} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s}} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} y_{n,s,u,k} - \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} z_{n,s,u,k} - C_{n,s,u} g_{n,s,u} \right) \quad (\text{D-3})$$

$$\text{s.t. } (C-7), (C-11), (C-12)$$

$$0 \leq \lambda_n \leq M^\lambda q_n^\lambda, \quad \forall n \quad (\text{D-4})$$

$$g_{n,s,u} = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} q_{n,s,u,k} \bar{G}_{n,s,u,k}, \quad \forall n, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s} \quad (\text{D-5})$$

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} q_{n,s,u,k} = 1, \quad \forall n, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s} \quad (\text{D-6})$$

$$q_{n,s,u,k}^y \leq q_n^\lambda, \quad \forall n, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s}, \forall k \quad (\text{D-7})$$

$$q_{n,s,u,k}^y \leq q_{n,s,u,k}, \quad \forall n, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s}, \forall k \quad (\text{D-8})$$

$$q_{n,s,u,k} + q_n^\lambda - 1 \leq q_{n,s,u,k}^y, \quad \forall n, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s}, \forall k \quad (\text{D-9})$$

$$y_{n,s,u,k} \leq \lambda_n \bar{G}_{n,s,u,k}, \quad \forall n, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s}, \forall k \quad (\text{D-10})$$

$$0 \leq y_{n,s,u,k} \leq M^y q_{n,s,u,k}^y, \quad \forall n, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s}, \forall k \quad (\text{D-11})$$

$$0 \leq p_{n,s,u,k} \leq M^p q_{n,s,u,k}, \quad \forall n, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s}, \forall k \quad (\text{D-12})$$

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} p_{n,s,u,k} = \rho, \quad \forall n, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s} \quad (\text{D-13})$$

$$- (\bar{E}_{n,s,u,k} - F \bar{G}_{n,s,u,k}) p_{n,s,u,k} + z_{n,s,u,k} \geq 0, \quad \forall n, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s}, \forall k \quad (\text{D-14})$$

$$0 \leq -D_n^{\text{int}} + D_n^{\text{slp}} d_n + \lambda_n \leq M r_n, \quad \forall n \quad (\text{D-15})$$

$$0 \leq d_n \leq M(1 - r_n), \quad \forall n \quad (\text{D-16})$$

$$0 \leq D_n^{\text{slp}} \sum_{u' \in \mathcal{U}_{n,j}} g_{n,j,u'} + C_{n,j,u} - \lambda_n + \beta_{n,j,u} \leq \bar{M} \bar{r}_{n,j,u}, \quad \forall n, j, u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,j} \quad (\text{D-17})$$

$$0 \leq g_{n,j,u} \leq \bar{M}(1 - \bar{r}_{n,j,u}), \quad \forall n, j, u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,j} \quad (\text{D-18})$$

$$0 \leq K_\ell - \sum_n H_{\ell,n} v_n \leq \hat{M} \hat{r}_\ell, \quad \forall \ell \quad (\text{D-19})$$

$$0 \leq \bar{\mu}_\ell \leq \hat{M}(1 - \hat{r}_\ell), \quad \forall \ell \quad (\text{D-20})$$

$$0 \leq K_\ell + \sum_n H_{\ell,n} v_n \leq \tilde{M} \tilde{r}_\ell, \quad \forall \ell \quad (\text{D-21})$$

$$0 \leq \underline{\mu}_\ell \leq \tilde{M}(1 - \tilde{r}_\ell), \quad \forall \ell \quad (\text{D-22})$$

$$0 \leq -g_{n,j,u} + \bar{G}_{n,j,u} \leq \check{M} \check{r}_{n,j,u}, \quad \forall n, j, u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,j} \quad (\text{D-23})$$

$$0 \leq \beta_{n,j,u} \leq \check{M}(1 - \check{r}_{n,j,u}), \quad \forall n, j, u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,j} \quad (\text{D-24})$$

$$0 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,i}} (F - E_{n,i,u}) g_{n,i,u} \leq \underline{M}(1 - r) \quad (\text{D-25})$$

$$0 \leq \rho \leq \underline{M} r \quad (\text{D-26})$$

$$r \in \{0, 1\}; r_n \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \forall n; \bar{r}_{n,j,u} \in \{0, 1\}, \check{r}_{n,j,u} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \forall n, j, u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,j}; \\ \hat{r}_\ell \in \{0, 1\}, \tilde{r}_\ell \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall \ell \quad (\text{D-27})$$

$$q_n^\lambda \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall n; q_{n,s,u,k} \in \{0, 1\}, q_{n,s,u,k}^y \in [0, 1] \quad \forall n, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{n,s}, \forall k \quad (\text{D-28})$$

where we define:

$$\Phi = \{d_n, g_{n,i,u}, v_n, \lambda_n, \nu, \bar{\mu}_\ell, \underline{\mu}_\ell, \beta_{n,j,u}, \rho, \underline{r}, r_n, \bar{r}_{n,j,u}, \check{r}_{n,j,u}, \hat{r}_\ell, \tilde{r}_\ell, y_{n,s,u,k}, z_{n,s,u,k}, q_{n,s,u,k}, q_n^\lambda, q_{n,s,u,k}^y, p_{n,s,u,k}\}.$$

## References

- Barroso, L.A., R.D. Carneiro, S. Granville, M.V. Pereira, and M.H.C. Fampa (2006). “Nash Equilibrium in Strategic Bidding: a Binary Expansion Approach.” *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 21(2): 629–638.
- Fortuny-Amat, J. and B. McCarl (1981). “A Representation and Economic Interpretation of a Two-Level Programming Problem.” *The Journal of the Operational Research Society*. 32(9): 783–792.
- Gabriel, S. A. and F.U. Leuthold (2010). “Solving Discretely-Constrained MPEC Problems with Applications in Electric Power Markets.” *Energy Economics*. 32: 3–14.