

# A hybrid top-down bottom-up model with macro-economic feedbacks

Chris Bataille & Mark Jaccard

Energy and Materials Research Group

School of Resource and Environmental Management

Simon Fraser University, Canada

July 9, 2004 Washington, D.C.

*Corresponding Author (cbataill@sfu.ca)*

# Outline of presentation

- The energy policy context
- Current energy modelling practice
- Building the hybrid model “CIMS”
- Results from experiments with the hybrid – AEEI & ESUB estimates and cost curves of GHG abatement
- Future research & the potential for physical general equilibrium modelling

# Policy context

- The four main issues to which energy policy modelling speaks - forecasting and uncertainty in:
  - Climate change (< GHG emissions)
  - Energy efficiency (< energy use overall)
  - Local air quality (< emissions of criteria air contaminants)
  - Energy security (< use of insecure energy forms)
- The common thread – how does long run demand for energy services and technological development change in the face of policy?

# Recent practice in energy modelling

- Top down
  - Generally Macro-econometric or Computable General Equilibrium
  - Technological change depicted abstractly through input substitution (ESUB) and autonomous energy efficiency development parameters (AEEI)
  - Equilibrium (supply and demand) feedbacks inherent
- Bottom-up
  - Technologies depicted explicitly and are chosen via financial cost minimization, usually with perfect foresight
  - Equilibrium feedbacks partial or missing

# Overview of CIMS


- Technologically detailed, behaviourally realistic simulation model with equilibrium feedbacks.
- Starting from a base year, compares the evolution of the capital stock in a BAU and Policy world
- 3 step simulation process: sub-sector technology choice, energy supply/demand and goods and services supply/demand equilibrium

# Sub-sector technology choice

- In each period, for each technology competition:
  - 1) retire old stock,
  - 2) assess demand,
  - 3) retrofit existing stock,
  - 4) compete new technologies using financial capital, fuel and emissions costs modified for intangible costs, agent intransigence, risk and option value




# Energy supply/ demand equilibrium





# Goods and services supply and demand equilibrium



# The goods and services supply and demand loop

- Industry - Armington substitution elasticities used to provide a blended response of domestic and foreign demand for traded goods
- Residential, Commercial/Institutional and Freight Transportation – Driven by changes in manufacturing value-added using an econometrically estimated relationship
- Personal Transportation – Driven by personal kilometres travelled (pkt) elasticities

# Results from CIMS


- AEEI and ESUB parameter estimates that reflect possible future technological development
  - AEEI calculated by comparing a business as usual future with and with technological change
  - ESUB calculated by shocking CIMS with many price futures, and regressing the resulting data
- Cost curves of GHG abatement for Canada

## Results – AEEI

Regions/Sectors	%/annum
Canada (w/ Transport)	0.41*
Canada (w/o Transport)	0.63*
Residences	0.46
Commercial & Institutional	1.70
Industry	0.22
Transportation	0.08

\* Not including energy supply sector

# ESUB calculation procedure




# Results – Capital for Energy Substitution Elasticity (ESUB)

Regions/Sectors	
Canada (w/ Transport)	0.13
Canada (w/o Transport)	0.27
Residences	0.33
Commercial & Institutional	0.23
Industry	0.11
Transportation	0.08

## Results – Inter-input ESUBs


Capital for electricity	0.33
Capital for petroleum products	0.08
Capital for natural gas	-0.05
Capital for coal	-0.04
Electricity for petroleum products	1.69
Electricity for natural gas	1.83
Electricity for coal	0.01
Petroleum products for natural gas	1.26
Petroleum products for coal	1.29
Natural gas for coal	0.95

# Results - Cost curves of GHG abatement for Canada





# Sector Cost Curves



# Future research with CIMS & implications


- Modelling technology development:
  - Declining capital costs
  - Declining intangible costs
  - Empirical estimation of intangible parameters
- The future for general equilibrium modelling with technological explicitness

# Energy supply & demand equilibrium


- Driven by changes in the average or marginal cost of production
- All volumes driven by domestic demand plus net exports
- Full endogenous pricing for electricity and RPP
- Supply curve pricing available for NG, coal and crude oil.
- Electricity, NG and crude oil trade adjusted by elasticities

# The three dimensions model


Conventional bottom-up model



# Three dimensions model with the hybrid “CIMS”



# Industry – The major reductions



“Other” consists of Pet. Refining, Chemical Prod., Mining, Smelting, Iron and Steel and Industrial Minerals