ENHANCING ACCESS TO ELECTRICITY IN NIGERIA THROUGH LOW-CARBON SOLUTIONS

(NOTE: The views expressed in the paper should not be attributed to the World Bank or the Government of Nigeria)

Raffaello Cervigni, The World Bank, 1818 H Street, NW, Washington DC, <u>rcervigni@worldbank.org</u>, tel +1 202 378 4432 Max Henrion, Lumina Decision Systems, Los Gatos, CA, USA John Rogers, The World Bank, Washington DC Felix Dayo, Triple E Systems, Laurel, MD, USA Asmerom Gilau, Triple E Systems, Laurel, MD, USA Surya Swamy, Lumina Decision Systems, Los Gatos, CA, USA

Overview

By 2035 Nigeria is projected to require some 600 Terawatt hour/ year (TWh/y) of power (on- and off-grid) to achieve and sustain the pace of economic growth envisaged in the government's development strategy. This paper analyzes and compares two stylized paradigms that could be followed to achieve such goal: a "reference" and an alternative, "low –carbon" scenarios.

Methods

Building on the shorter-term power sector priorities contained in the Government's Roadmap for Power Sector Reform, and projecting over the longer-term (up to 2035) the economic growth targets envisaged by Vision 20:2020, this paper first identifies a "reference scenario" where long term growth objectives are achieved through a power sector development model largely based on "conventional" generation technologies (thermal generation and to a less extent hydro-power), and delivery of electricity primarily through expansion of the national grid. The reference scenario is then compared to an alternative, more innovative approach (the "low-carbon" scenario), consisting of a mix of generation sources more diversified across technologies and across space, and a more pronounced use of distributed and off-grid generation. The technology mix of the alternative scenario is built on a spatially-explicit review of the technical potential in Nigeria of renewable energy and other low carbon sources, combined with an analysis of the corresponding levelized cost of generation, under a range of scenarios of relative prices' evolution.

Results

We find that the proposed alternative model would enable Nigeria to achieve the same long term sector development objectives of the reference scenario, at lower overall cost (10% less in NPV terms), and with significant reduction of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs) emissions, estimated to be in the range of 2 to 2.5 billion tons of CO2/e over the whole simulation period (2010 to 2035). Slower increases in the price of fossil fuels or flatter learning curves in renewable are found to delay the expansion of cleaner technologies in the energy mix, but the low carbon scenario remains by and large attractive in NPV terms.

Scenarios	Annual generation in 2035	Net present value of generation costs (US\$ billion)			Cumulative emissions	Diversity of generation
	TWh	Capital and O/M	Fuel	Total	Mt CO2e	Reciprocal of Gini index
Reference	620	\$86	\$283	\$369	6,669	18%
Low Carbon	525	\$115	\$222	\$337	4,143	34%

Table 1: Two scenarios for the long term development (2035) of Nigeria's power sector

Conclusions

The alternative "low carbon" paradigm presents a number of implementation challenges, in terms of information needs, technologies, institutions and regulations, and financial barriers. But it deserves consideration by policy

makers, since -under a range of plausible scenario on future power generation costs- it can save Nigeria a significant amount of resources in the long run (although with higher upfront capital expenditure); and it has the potential of placing Nigeria as a regional leader in the energy technologies of the future. Growth prospects for grid-based solar power (the bulk of which will be CSP) are significant: according to the EIA (International Energy outlook 2011) solar power generation will grow 10% a year world-wide in the next 20 years, but 24% a year in Africa. By investing early enough in renewable energy, Nigeria has the opportunity to become a regional leader in a quickly expanding market, and perhaps establishing itself as a regional hub for technology development and deployment in the rest of Africa.

References

Adegbulugbe, 2010: *Moving Towards Low-Carbon Power Sector Development in Nigeria: Issues and Challenges.* Federal Ministry of Energy, Federal Republic Of Nigeria, 2010.

Dayo and Gilau., 2009, *Carbon Market and Clean Energy Investment Opportunities in Nigeria*. International Centre for Energy, Environment & Development (ICEED) Abuja, Nigeria. July 2009.

ECN, 2010: Energy Options for Climate Change Mitigation in Nigeria. Energy Commission of Nigeria, Federal Ministry of Energy, Federal Republic Of Nigeria, Technical Report No. ECN/EPA/10/01, 2010

ESMAP, 2007: Technical and Economic Assessment of Off-grid, Mini-grid and Grid Electrification Technologies. ESMAP Technical Paper 121/07, World Bank, Washington, DC, December, 2007.

Fluri, 2009: "The potential of concentrating solar power in South Africa". *Energy Policy 37 (2009)*. Fluri, T. P. Energy Policy, August 7, 2009. pp5075–5080.

Gouvello et al., 2008: Low-carbon Energy Projects for Development in Sub-Saharan Africa: Unveiling the Potential, Addressing the Barriers. Norwegian Trust Fund for Private Sector and Infrastructure, The World Bank, Washington DC, 2008.

IEA, 2011: International Energy Agency, World Energy Outlook, 2011 Edition. International Energy Agency, Paris, 2011.

NREL, 2008: *Technical Potential of Solar Energy to Address Energy Poverty and Avoid GHG Emissions in Africa*. International Congress on Renewable Energy (ICORE), October 16–17, 2008, NREL/PO-6A2-44259.

Nwafor, 2010. A 2006 Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) for Nigeria: Methodology and Results. M., X. Diao, and V. Alpuerto. 2010. Washington, D. C.: International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI)

Tallapragada, 2009: Nigeria's Electricity Sector- Electricity and Gas Pricing Barriers, Prasad V.S.N. Tallapragada, Int. Assoc. Energy Economics, First Quarter, 2009, pp29-34.