# **Optimal regulation of network expansion**

Bert Willems, Tilburg University, <u>bwillems@uvt.nl</u> Gijsbert Zwart, Groningen University, <u>g.t.j.zwart@rug.nl</u>

## **Overview**

We model the optimal regulation of continuous, irreversible, capacity expansion, where a regulated firm has private information about capacity costs, investments are financed from the firm's cash flows, and demand is stochastic.

#### **Methods**

In this article we have derived the optimal regulation of network expansion, by combining the real option and *principal-agent literature:* 

In our model demand growth is not fully predictable (i.e. stochastic) and network investments are sunk.*Hence the fi*rm is continuously forecasting demand and balancing the bene?ts of expanding capacity now (and obtaining additional revenue) and delaying investments (and obtaining super*ior information about future demand*). In other words, it needs to take into account the real option value of investments (Dixit and Pindyck,1994). In order to model the interaction between the regulator and the firm, we rely on the assumption that the **firm has superior information** about its own investment costs as in the seminal article by Baron and Myerson (1982). Whereas most adverse selection types of models allow for for lump-sum transfers to the agent, we impose a **self-financing constraint** which limits transfers.

### Results

We derive the optimal **direct mechanisms** in which the firm reports is cost type, and the regulator specifies the investment criterium, and which fraction of revenues the firm is allowed to keep.

By using Ito's lemma and the Bellman equation we derive how the firm's profits and social welfare depend on the investment criteria. The optimal investment criteria is derived from a dynamic optimization model which includes the incentive constraints, the participation constraints and the self-financing constraints.

### Conclusions

We show that the optimal mechanism can be implemented as a revenue tax that increases with the level of congestion. If the degree of asymmetric information is large, then the optimal mechanism consists of a laissez-faire regime for low-cost firms. That is, the firm delays investments as if it were an unregulated monopolist and it is not taxed. This 'maximal distortion at the top' is necessary to provide information rents, as direct subsidies are not feasible.

### References

Armstrong, Mark and David E. M. Sappington. 2007. Chapter 27: Recent Developments in the Theory of Regulation. In Handbook of Industrial Organization, ed. M. Armstrong and R. Porter. Vol. 3 Elsevier pp. 1557-1700.

Arve, Malin and Gijsbert Zwart. 2014. Optimal Procurement and Investment in New Technologies under Uncertainty. SSRN Scholarly Paper ID 2471089 Social Science Research Network.

Averch, Harvey and Leland L. Johnson. 1962. Behavior of the Firm under Regulatory Constraint. The American Economic Review 52(5):1052-1069.

Baron, David P. and Roger B. Myerson. 1982. Regulating a Monopolist with Unknown Costs. Econometrica 50(4):911-930.

Beesley, M. E. and S. C. Littlechild. 1989. The Regulation of Privatized Monopolies in the United Kingdom. The RAND Journal of Economics 20(3):454-472.

Broer, Peter and Gijsbert Zwart. 2013. Optimal Regulation of Lumpy Investments. Journal of Regulatory Economics 44(2):177-196.

Cabral, Luis M. B. and Michael H. Riordan. 1989. Incentives for Cost Reduction under Price Cap Regulation. Journal of Regulatory Economics 1(2):93-102.

Cambini, Carlo and Yanyan Jiang. 2009. Broadband Investment and Regulation: A literature review. Telecommunications Policy 33:559-574.

Dixit, Robert K. and Robert S. Pindyck. 1994. Investment under Uncertainty. Princeton University Press.

Dobbs, Ian M. 2004. Intertemporal Price Cap Regulation under Uncertainty. The Economic Journal 114(495):421-440.

European Commission. 2015. Pending Notifications of National Exemption Decisions. URL: https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/documents/exemption\_decisions\_15.pdf

Evans, Lewis and Graeme Guthrie. 2012. Price-cap Regulation and the Scale and Timing of Investment. The RAND Journal of Economics 43(3):537-561.12

Evans, Lewis T. and Graeme A. Guthrie. 2005. Risk, Price Regulation, and Irreversible Investment. International Journal of Industrial Organization 23(1-2):109-128.

Gans, Joshua S. and Stephen P. King. 2004. Access Holidays and the Timing of Infrastructure Investment. Economic Record 80(248):89-100. 00078.

Gautier, Axel and Manipushpak Mitra. 2006. Regulating a Monopolist with Limited Funds. Economic Theory 27(3):705-718.

Guesnerie, Roger and Jean-Jacques Laffont. 1984. A Complete Solution to a Class of Principal-Agent Problems with an Application to the Control of a Self-managed Firm. Journal of Public Economics 25(3):329-369.

Guthrie, Graeme. 2006. Regulating Infrastructure: The Impact on Risk and Investment. Journal of Economic Literature 44(4):925-972.

Joskow, Paul L. 2008. Incentive Regulation and its Application to Electricity Networks. Review of Network Economics 7(4):547-560.

McDonald, R. and D. Siegel. 1986. The Value of Waiting to Invest. Quarterly Journal of Economics 101(4):707-727.

OFGEM. 2010a. Handbook for Implementing the RIIO Model. Technical report OFGEM.

OFGEM. 2010b. RIIO: A New Way to Regulate Energy Networks; Final Decision. Technical report OFGEM.

Pindyck, Robert S. 1988. Irreversible Investment, Capacity Choice, and the Value of the Firm. American Economic Review 78(5):969-85.

Roques, Fabien A. and Nicos Savva. 2009. Investment under Uncertainty with Price Ceilings in Oligopolies. Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control 33(2):507-524.

Sappington, David EM. 2002. Price Regulation and Incentives. Handbook of Telecommunications Economics 1:225-293.

Stupp, Catherine. 2016. Leaked German government document calls for softer telecoms rules. URL: http://www.euractiv.com/section/digital/news/leaked-german-government-document-calls-for-softer-telecoms-rules/