

# ***Comparative analysis between GHGs reduction and energy efficiency: focused on industrial sector in South Korea***

Moon Jung Kim, Energy systems engineering, Phone +81 2 880 8284, smile233@snu.ac.kr  
 Kyoung-Hee Kim, Energy systems engineering, Phone +81 2 880 8284, kkh30248@snu.ac.kr  
 Dae-Gyun Oh, Korea Energy Management Corporation, Phone +81 31 260 4114 dgoh@kemco.or.kr

## **Overview**

South Korea has carried out energy efficiency improvement programs on industrial sector, and pushed forward CO<sub>2</sub> reduction target starting with voluntary agreement since 2000s. In 2005, South Korea launched incentive program which was about GHGs reduction and modified the program as target management system targeting certain size of workplace in 2011. Also, CO<sub>2</sub> reduction target by 17 million ton compared to BAU was set as a GHGs MBO(Management by Objectives) on industrial and power sector in 2013. Industrial sector participants of MBO accounted for 40% of national total energy consumption and 58% of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions based on 2008 status.

<Target of GHGs emissions>

[Unit: Tton CO<sub>2</sub>]

	Number of Participants	GHGs Emission Allowance(2013)	Estimated GHGs Emission (2013)	Reduction
Manufacture and Power	377	553,429	570,586	17,157
Total	480	571,947	589,778	17,831

On the grounds that, GHGs emissions from Korean industrial sector was based on the use of fuel energy so that the climate policy regarding industrial sector has focused on energy efficiency. Therefore, climate policy set as a target improving energy efficiency and reducing GHGs emissions at the same time.

To find out that effect of energy efficiency improvement policy and GHGs reduction performance on industrial sector, this research was designed to compare energy efficiency index and GHGs intensity of South Korea. In addition to that, GHGs reduction program and energy efficiency policy were analyzed respectively how those of two policies were affected by each other regarding climate policies on industrial sector, laws, incentive program and regulations.

## **Methods**

In order to find out the trends of the performance of GHGs reduction program and energy efficiency policy of Korean industrial sector, we checked the time series data of energy intensity(TOE/\$1,000), CO<sub>2</sub> intensity (tCO<sub>2</sub>/\$1,000). As a second step, decomposition method which was introduced by Ang in 1994, was adopted to analyze the performance of detailed industrial sector and to proceed to calculate CO<sub>2</sub> intensity with GDP and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Finally, the effect of energy efficiency and performance of GHGs reduction about each manufacturing industrie sector were examined. Total amount of GHGs emissions were decomposed of the productive effect, energy intensity and carbon intensity of fuel as below.

$$G = Y_t \times \frac{\sum Y_t}{Y_t} \times \frac{\sum E_i}{\sum Y_i} \times \frac{\sum G_i}{\sum E_i}$$

## Conclusions

Energy intensity and CO<sub>2</sub> intensity has decreased in Korean domestic manufacturing sector over time since 1990s. As time goes by, energy intensity and CO<sub>2</sub> intensity has been expected to show a decline because GDP increased and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions decreased by the growth of energy efficiency in those sector. In 2010, energy intensity of manufacturing sector accounted for 0.395 down 0.001 points from the year before. However, CO<sub>2</sub> intensity of increased from 2.001 to 2.06 the same year. This decrease is attributed to the increase of electricity intensity compared to fossil power intensity. Also, CO<sub>2</sub> intensity of electricity intensity affected to the increase of overall CO<sub>2</sub> intensity.

## References

- Ang(1994), "Decomposition of industrial energy consumption: some methodological and application issues", Energy Economics, Vol. 16, 83-92
- Chunbo Ma and David I. Stern(2008), "China's changing energy intensity trend: A decomposition analysis," Energy Economics, Vol. 30, 1037-1053
- D. Diakoulaki and M. Mandaraka(2007), "Decomposition analysis for assessing the progress in decoupling industrial growth from CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the EU manufacturing sector," Economics , Vol. 29, 636-664
- Hyunkeong Kim and Eunnyeong Heo(2005), "Changes in energy intensities by energy type in Korea: a decomposition analysis," Geosystem Engineering, Vol. 8, 1-4
- Hwa Meei Liou(2011), "A comparison of the regislative framework and policies in Taiwan's four GHG reduction acts," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review, Vol. 15, 1723-1747
- J. W. Sun(1998), "Changes in energy consumption and energy intensity: A complete decomposition model," Energy Economics, Vol. 20, 85-100
- Ilyoung Oh, Walter Wehrmeyer and Yacob Mulugetta(2010), "Decomposition analysis and mitigation strategies of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from energy consumption in South Korea," Energy Policy, Vol.38, 364-377
- Malla S.(2009), "CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from electricity generation in seven Asia-pacific and North American countries: a decomposition analysis," Energy Policy, Vol. 37, 1-9
- S.H. Park(1992), " Decoposition of indutrial energy consumption", Energy Economics, Oct. 265-270