How do price caps in China's electricity sector impact the economics of coal, power and wind? Potential gains from reforms

Bertrand Rioux, Philipp Galkin, Frederic Murphy, and Axel Pierru

King Abdullah Petroleum Studies and Research Center (KAPSARC), P.O. Box 88550, Riyadh

11672, Saudi Arabia

Electronic appendix 1. Mathematical formulation of China's electricity sector

	<i>i; iⁿ; i^w</i>	Capacity type; Spinning reserve; Wind
Indices	<i>r</i> , <i>r</i> ′	Region
	l; l'; p	Load segment; Peak load segment
	j	Wind capacity increment
	$f; f^a; f^o$	Fuels; Coal; Other fuels (oil, gas, uranium)
	k	Fuel supply step (only f^o)
	C, S	Calorific value; Sulfur content (coal only)
les	$\mathbf{x}_{i,l,r}$	Amount of capacity generating in load segment l in MW
	$\mathbf{y}_{i^{n},l,r}$	Amount of capacity used for spinning reserves in MW
	$\mathbf{z}_{i,r}$	New capacity built
	<i>t</i> _{<i>l</i>,<i>r</i>,<i>r</i>}	Electricity transmission in MWh
iab	$u_{r,r'}$	New transmission capacity
Var	$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i^{w},n,r}$	Level of wind operation
	$v_{i,f,c,s,k,r}$, $v_{i,f^o,k,r}$	Fuel consumption coal and other fuels
	$\boldsymbol{q}_{i^{w},r}$	Subsidy for wind generators
	$\pi_{f,c,s,r}$	Fuel price
	S _{i,r}	Allowed generators' financial losses (including subsidies)
	$\tilde{v}_{f^{c},c,s,r}$	Non-power coal consumption
	$\overline{E}_{i,r}; Et_{r,r'}$	Existing capacities: generation; transmission
7.0	$D_{l,r}; H_l$	Power demand in MWh; hours in load segment
ints	G _i	Internal electricity use coefficient
nsta	$Y_{r,r'}$	Transmission yield
Cor	$T_{l,l',r,r'}$	Mapping coefficient between load segments of different regions
•	$\hat{P}_{i,r}$	On-grid tariff caps
	$OM_i; Ot_{r,r'}$	O&M costs: generation; transmission
	$K_i; Kt_{r,r'}$	Annualized capital and fixed costs: generation; transmission
	C _c	Conversion to Standard Coal Equivalent

Table A.1: Indices, variables and constants

	F _{i,f,r}	Power plant heat rate
	$B_{f,k,r}$	Bound on step k for fuel
	а	Spinning reserves requirement as fraction of wind capacity
Constants	b	Fraction of fuel and variable costs consumed by spinning reserves
	I_j	Size of wind capacity increments in MW
	$\Delta_{j,l,r}$	Reduction in load in segment l for each wind increment
	W	Capacity target in the wind policy
	DW_l	Dry weight of sulfur
	$EC_i^{SO_2}$; $EC_i^{NO_x}$	Coefficients for emissions control: SO ₂ ; NOx
	N _{i,c,r}	NOx emissions per unit of coal consumed
	$T_r^{SO_2}$; T_r^{NOx}	Total emissions limit: SO ₂ , NOx

Although the model is formulated as an MCP, rather than present the primal, dual, and complementarity conditions, it is simpler to present a linear program that models the case without price caps, and then show the features that require an MCP in the presence of price caps. Since the focus of the paper is on the electricity market, here we detail just the representation of China's electricity sector, which means for the model to be complete, the objective function contains a cost term for the coal that is delivered to utilities. In a combined coal and utilities model this term would be removed and replaced by coal material balances in the constraints that feed coal to utilities. A description of the coal supply model is presented in Rioux et al. (2016).

In the electricity sector every regional utility acts as a monopsonist that minimizes the total cost of supplying and transmitting power. The model minimizes the total cost over all of the regions simultaneously. This means each utility minimizes its costs and trades electricity with the other utilities at prices set to marginal costs.

We first present the model under the Long-run without caps scenario because it can be formulated as a linear program both standalone and combined with the coal model. We then add the constraint that captures the consequences of the price caps, explaining why this change requires an MCP formulation in the integrated model. The mathematical program for the scenario without price caps is:

$$\min \sum_{i,l,r} OM_{i} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_{i,l,r} + b \cdot \mathbf{y}_{i^{n},l,r}) \cdot H_{l} + \sum_{i^{n},r} K_{i^{n}} \cdot \mathbf{z}_{i^{n},r} + \sum_{i,f,c,s,k,r} \pi_{f,c,s,r} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{i,f,c,s,k,r}$$
$$+ \sum_{r,r'} Kt_{r,r'} \mathbf{u}_{r,r'} + \sum_{l,r,r'} Ot_{r,r'} \mathbf{t}_{l,r,r'} - \sum_{i^{w},r} q_{i^{w},r}$$

Subject to the following constraints:

Fuel material balances:

$$\sum_{(c,s,k)} \boldsymbol{v}_{i,f,c,s,k,r} \cdot \boldsymbol{C}_c - \sum_l F_{i,f,r} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_l \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i,l,r} + b \cdot \boldsymbol{y}_{i^n,l,r} \right) \ge 0$$
(A.1)

Supply constraints for fuel other than coal:

$$\sum_{i} \boldsymbol{v}_{i,f^{o},k,r} \leq B_{f^{o},k,r} \tag{A.2}$$

Capacity limits for power generation and transmission:

$$\mathbf{z}_{i,r} - \mathbf{y}_{i^n,l,r} - \mathbf{x}_{i,l,r} \ge -E_{i,r} \qquad i \neq i^w \tag{A.3}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{r,r'} - \sum_{l} \boldsymbol{t}_{l,r,r'} \ge -E \boldsymbol{t}_{r,r'} \tag{A.4}$$

Power transmitted constrained by the amount produced:

$$\sum_{i} H_l \cdot G_i \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{i,l,r} - \sum_{r'} \boldsymbol{t}_{l,r,r'} \ge 0 \tag{A.5}$$

Power demand:

$$\sum_{r',l'} Y_{r',r} \cdot T_{l',l,r',r}; \quad \boldsymbol{t}_{l',r',r} \ge D_{l,r}$$
(A.6)

Reserve margin:

$$\sum_{i \neq i^{w}} \left(\mathbf{z}_{i,r} + E_{i,r} \right) \ge 1.1 \cdot D_{p,r} \tag{A.7}$$

Wind operation:

$$\boldsymbol{z}_{i^{w},r} - \sum_{n} I_{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i^{w},j,r} \ge -E_{i^{w},r}$$
(A.8)

$$\sum_{i^{w}} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i^{w},j,r} \leq 1 \tag{A.9}$$

$$\sum_{n} \Delta_{j,l,r} \cdot I_j \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i^{w},j,r} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i^{w},l,r} \ge 0$$
(A.10)

Added spinning reserve requirement for wind power:

$$\sum_{i^n} \mathbf{y}_{i^n, s, r} - \sum_{i^w, j} a \cdot \Delta_{j, l, r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i^w, j, r} \ge 0$$
(A.11)

Meeting the wind capacity target:

$$\sum_{r} z_{i} w_{r} \ge W - \sum_{r} E_{i} w_{r} \tag{A.12}$$

Regional sulfur emissions:

$$\sum_{(c,s)} \left(\sum_{i,f^a} \boldsymbol{v}_{i,f^a,c,s,k,r} \cdot EC_i^{SO_2} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{c,s,r} \right) \cdot DW_s \cdot 1.6 \le T_r^{SO_2}$$
(A.13)

Nitrous oxide emissions:

$$\sum_{i,f^a,c} \left(\boldsymbol{v}_{i,f^a,c,s,k,r} \cdot N_{i,c,r} \cdot EC_i^{NO_x} \right) \le T_r^{NOx}$$
(A.14)

$$y_{i^{n}(i),l,r} > 0, \ x_{i,l,r} \ge 0, \ q_{i^{w}(i),r} \ge 0, \ u_{r,r'} \ge 0, \ t_{l,r,r'} \ge 0$$
 (A.15)

Note that the transmission variables between regions r' and r, $T_{l',l,r',r}$, link different load segments, with the electricity produced in one load segment in one region distributed over multiple load segments in another region. This allows the model to match the same times in the load duration curves of the different regions and capture the effects of non-coincident peaks in the value of generation and transmission.

In the standalone electricity model the $\pi_{f,c,s,r}$ for coal are constants, making the model a linear program. In the integrated model without price caps we combine the objective functions of the two models and we remove the term $\pi_{f,c,s,r} \cdot v_{i,f,c,s,k,r}$ for coal from the objective function. We add material balance constraints that link the coal model to the utilities model and the price of coal comes from the dual variables of these constraints.

We now add the profitability constraint that measures the effects of the price caps. Adding this constraint to the integrated coal and utilities model means there is no corresponding optimization problem to the MCP. For coal we redefine $\pi_{f,c,s,r}$ to be the set of dual variables associated with the material balances constraints that link the coal transportation network to the utility model. The profitability constraint requires that the generators in a region be profitable over all of their equipment and allows them to lose money on some plants as long as they make it up on other plants.

$$\sum_{i} \left[\left(\hat{P}_{i,r} \cdot G_{i,r} - OM_{i} \right) \left(\sum_{l} H_{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{i,l,r} \right) - \sum_{f,c,s} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{f,c,s,r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{i,f,c,s,r} + S_{i,r} \right]$$

$$- \sum_{i^{n},l} OM_{i^{n}} \cdot b \cdot W_{s} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}_{i^{n}l,r} - \sum_{i} K_{i} \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{z}_{i,r} + E_{i,r} \right) \ge 0$$
(A.16)

The first term is what the revenues would be at the price caps less the operating and maintenance costs, the second is the fuel costs, the fourth is the operating and maintenance costs for the spinning reserve and the fifth is the annualized cost of capacity. The second term, $\pi_{f,c,s,r} \cdot v_{i,f,c,s,r}$, is the product of a primal and dual variable, which can appear in an MCP but not in an optimization model.

The third term in (A.16) is a subsidy that is added as a constant to make this constraint feasible, as generators received government subsidies and reported financial losses in 2012. We found that this constraint cannot be met without a subsidy, given the shape of the load duration curve and the requirement to have spinning reserves to back up the wind generators. We iterate to find the smallest subsidy necessary for the model to be feasible. That is, we have a mathematical program subject to equilibrium constraints where the government is minimizing the subsidy needed to make generators profitable subject to the market equilibrium.

Electronic appendix 2. Model calibration

The model, calibrated to 2012 data¹, contains 12 regions, aggregating adjacent provinces with similar cost structures, on-grid tariff caps and shared grid resources. A total of 21 coal supply nodes are used to capture the geographic dispersion of resources. Every regional load curve is split into five load segments. Since demand is represented by a load duration curve, only one non-dispatchable renewable generator can be included. We selected wind, by far the largest source of non-dispatchable power in 2012.

Regional power producers have 10 different generator types (14 when considering emission controls). Transmission capacities are split into High Voltage Alternating Current (AC) and Direct Current (DC) interregional transmission lines. Data sources are listed in table A.2.

Table A.2: Power sector model calibration da	ta
--	----

Data	Sources
Power demand	Li et al. (2007), Atong et al. (2012), Wei et al.
(data used to construct load curves)	(2010), Wang et al. (2013), Yang (2009), Ma et
	al. (2011), Cheng et al. (2013), Bai and Li (2010),
	Hou (2007), Cheng (2007), Liu et al. (2009), Yu
	et al. (2011), IHS (2014).
Existing generation capacities	Platts (2015),IHS (2015)
Fuel demand	NBS (2013), CEIC (2016)
Fuel prices	NDRC
Capital discount rate	Dong (2012)
Power plant capital costs and gross	IEA WEIO (2014)
thermal efficiencies	
Power plant fixed and variable costs	IEA WEIO (2014), WEC (2010)
SO2 and NOx emission factors	Schreifels et al. (2012)
Regional SO₂ and NOx emissions	MEP (2013)
Capital and variable cost of SO ₂ (FGD)	Zhang (2006)
and NOx (SCR) controls	
NOx flue gas concentration range	Zevenhoven and Kilpinen (2001)
On-grid tariff caps, tariff levels, SO ₂	NDRC, China Resource Power Holdings (2012)
and NOx tariff supplements	

¹ We also recalibrated Rioux et al.'s (2016) model on 2012 data.

Existing and planned power transmission capacities	NEA (2015), NDRC (2015), SASAC, China Resource Power Holdings (2012), Jineng Group (2014), People's Daily (2014)
Transmission costs	Cheng (2015)
Interregional and intraregional transmission losses UHV-DC and HV- AC	IEA ETSAP (2014), The World Bank (2016), China Southern Power Grid (2013), Cheng (2015)
Capital cost, UHV-DC and HV-AC	State Grid Corporation of China (2013), SASAC (2007), Zhang (2014), Yang and Gao (2015), Paulson Institute (2015)
On-grid tariffs	NDRC (2011)
Regional wind resources and profiles	He et al. (2014), Yu et al. (2011)

Sources

Atong, B., K. Jiamalihan, and M. Ren (2012), "Characteristic analysis of power load for Xinjiang regional grid". *Science and Technology Innovation Herald*, 35: 16-18.

Bai, H., and G. Li (2010), "Analysis on load characteristics of Henan Power Grid", Power Demand

Side Management, 12(3): 34-37.

CEIC (2016). China Economic & Industry Data Database.

Cheng, G., Q. Zhu, X. Bai, Y. Kang, and L. Li (2013), "Analysis and forecast of power load characteristics in Guangxi", *Power Demand Side Management*, 15(3).

Cheng, Q. (2007), "Study on load characteristic of Shaanxi power grid", *Technical Economics Review*, 1: 39-43.

Cheng, R., Z. Xu, P. Liu, Z. Wang, and I. Jones (2015), "A multi-region optimization planning model for China's power sector", *Applied Energy*, 137(1): 413-426. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.10.023

China Resources Power Holdings Company Limited (2012). Annual Report 2011. Available from:

http://www.cr-power.com/en/download/20124309505613507.pdf

China Southern Power Grid Corporation (2013). *China Southern Power Grid Statistical Yearbook* 2012.

Dong, J., X. Zhang, and X. Xu (2012), "Techno-economic assessment and policy of gas power generation considering the role of multiple stakeholders in China", *Energy Policy*, 28: 209-221. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2012.05.010

He, G. and D. Kammen (2014), "Where, when and how much wind is available? A provincial-scale wind resource assessment for China", *Energy Policy*, 74: 116-122. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2014.07.003

Hou, X. (2007), "Analysis of load characteristics in Hunan power grid during Tenth Five Year period", *Middle China Power*, 20: 32-35.

IEA (International Energy Agency) (2014). *World Energy Investment Outlook 2014 Special Report*. IEA ETSAP (Energy Technology Systems Analysis Programme) (2014). *Electricity Transmission and Distribution Report*. Available from: <u>http://iea-etsap.org/web/Highlights%20PDF/E12_el-</u>

t&d KV Apr2014 GSOK%201.pdf

IHS (2014). IHS CERA China Energy Electric Power Data Tables.

IHS (2015). IHS Energy Infrastructure and Markets Database.

Jinneng Group Co Ltd. (2014). *Industry News*. Available from: <u>http://www.jinnengjt.com/xwzx/zhhy/201404/t20140421_2004.html</u>

Li, X., H. Shu, and S. Sun (2007), "Daily load curve-based load characteristic analysis of Yunnan power grid", *Yunnan Water Power*, 23: 1-20.

Liu, D., Q. Qi, and Y. Ye (2009), "Analysis of load characteristics of Beijing-Tianjin-Tangshan power grid", *Power Demand Side Management*, 11(3).

Ma, L., Z. Wang, J. Cai, and L. Feng (2011), "Analysis on load characteristics of the whole society in Changjiang, Hainan", *Science and Technology Information*, 20: 147-148.

MEP (Ministry of Environmental Protection of China) (2013). Environment Statistical Yearbook

2011. Available from: http://zls.mep.gov.cn/hjtj/nb/2011nb/201303/t20130327_249976.htm

NBS (National Bureau of Statistics, People's Republic of China) (2013). *China Energy Statistical Yearbook 2013*. China Statistics Press.

NEA (National Energy Administration) (2015). *Note on the Losses of National Inter-provincial Transmission Lines 2011-2013*. Available from:

http://zfxxgk.nea.gov.cn/auto92/201503/t20150330 1896.htm

NDRC (National Development and Reform Commission) (2011a). *Notice on Electricity Price Adjustment*. Available from:

http://www.ndrc.gov.cn/zwfwzx/zfdj/jggg/dian/201112/t20111201_448627.html

NDRC (National Development and Reform Commission) (2011b). *Notice on Electricity Price Adjustment*. Available from:

http://www.ndrc.gov.cn/zwfwzx/zfdj/jggg/dian/201112/t20111201_448626.html

NDRC (National Development and Reform Commission) (2011c). *Notice on Electricity Price Adjustment*. Available from:

http://www.ndrc.gov.cn/zwfwzx/zfdj/jggg/dian/201112/t20111201_448625.html

Paulson Institute (2015). *Power Play: China's Ultra-High Voltage Technology and Global Standards*. Available from:

http://www.paulsoninstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/PPS_UHV_English.pdf

People's Daily (2010). *Hami-Anxi Power Transmission Project to be Completed by Nov*. Available from: http://en.people.cn/90001/90783/91300/7038811.html

Platts (2015). The UDI World Electric Power Plants Database.

SASAC (State-owned Asset Supervision and Administration Commission of the State Council) (2007). *Hubei and Henan Fourth 500 kV Line in Operation*. Available from: http://www.sasac.gov.cn/n86114/n326638/c863593/content.html

Schreifels J., Y. Fu, and E. Wilson (2012), "Sulfur dioxide control in China: policy evolution during the 10th and 11th Five-year Plans and lessons for the future", *Energy Policy*, 48: 779-789.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2012.06.015