

# Experience with Energy Efficiency Policies and Programs: Lessons from the Critics

Howard Geller



**Presentation at the  
USAEE/IAEE Annual Conference  
Denver, CO  
Sept. 20, 2005**

# Will the Rebound Effect Erode Most Energy Savings?

---

## Empirical Evidence of the Rebound Effect in the United States

Sector	End Use	Size of rebound effect
Residential	Space heating	10-30%
Residential	Space cooling	0-50%
Residential	Water heating	<10-40%
Residential	Lighting	5-12%
Residential	Appliances	0%
Residential	Automobiles	10-30%
Business	Lighting	0-2%
Business	Process uses	0-20%

# Will the Rebound Effect Erode Most Energy Savings?

---

- ❑ Direct rebound effect is real, but small to moderate in most cases
- ❑ Indirect economy-wide effects are very small (<2% loss of energy savings)
- ❑ Rebound effect, to the extent it occurs, is not evidence that energy efficiency is a failure

# Would Most Energy Savings Happen Anyway?

---

- ❑ Average efficiency of appliances or vehicles was stagnant or declining prior to adoption of energy efficiency standards
- ❑ Various studies of “prices vs. policies” have concluded that policies were the leading cause of efficiency gains; e.g., appliance standards in EU or vehicle standards in U.S.
- ❑ Many (but not all) energy efficiency studies take into account ongoing efficiency improvements in “base case”
- ❑ Ex-post program evaluations confirm energy efficiency policy/program effectiveness

# Are Energy Efficiency Policies/Programs as Effective as Their Proponents Claim?

---

- ❑ Policies such as appliance and vehicle efficiency standards or financial incentives have been effective for increasing energy efficiency
- ❑ Efficiency proponents should take into account market-based efficiency improvements and the response to changing energy prices when analyzing the impacts of specific EE initiatives.
- ❑ It is important to evaluate real world energy savings and the full costs and benefits of energy efficiency policies and programs, including non-energy benefits.

# Are Energy Efficiency Policies/Programs as Effective as Their Proponents Claim?

---

What do Econometric Studies Reveal about the Effectiveness of Utility Energy Efficiency Programs?

**Parfomak and Lave** (1996) – Examined 39 utility DSM programs and found 99% of reported savings are statistically observable after accounting for changes in energy price, income growth, and weather effects

**Loughran and Kulick** (2004) – Examined changes in state electricity use and found that utility DSM programs save less energy and have a higher cost of saved energy than utilities claim. But L&K used first year energy savings only, not energy savings over the life of efficiency measures.

# Are the Discount Rates Used to Justify EE Policies Too Low?

---

- ❑ Energy efficiency analysts and proponents use real discount rates of 4-10%
- ❑ But implicit discount rates in the marketplace are 50% or greater in many cases
- ❑ High implicit discount rates are another way of acknowledging market failures and barriers
- ❑ Lower discount rates are used to analyze other types of policies and programs, and alternative energy investments
- ❑ Lower discount rates are justified for analyzing responses to long-term problems such as global warming

# Are the Market Failures Used to Justify Energy Efficiency Policies a Myth?

---

Market failures documented in literature

- unpriced costs and benefits
- distortionary regulatory and fiscal policies
- misplaced incentives
- insufficient information

Policies and programs aimed at overcoming these factors are justified



# Are the Market Failures Used to Justify Energy Efficiency Policies a Myth?

---

- Market barriers (not failures) also limit adoption of energy efficiency measures
- Low priority given to energy costs
  - Bounded rationality
  - Incomplete markets for some efficiency measures
  - Lack of capital

# What Should Energy Policy Makers and Analysts Do?

---

- ❑ Take into account rebound effects when estimating energy savings
- ❑ Take into account ongoing trends towards lower energy intensity as well as energy price effects
- ❑ Continue using discount rates of 4-10% in cost effectiveness analysis
- ❑ Continue implementing policies and programs to remove or overcome market failures and barriers
- ❑ Analyze full costs and benefits of EE policies and programs, including net impacts and transaction costs

# SWEEP:

*Dedicated to More Efficient Energy Use in the Southwest*

---

Resources available online at:

[www.swenergy.org](http://www.swenergy.org)

Howard Geller, Executive Director  
Southwest Energy Efficiency Project (SWEEP)

303-447-0078

hgeller@swenergy.org