# 25<sup>th</sup> Annual North American Conference September 20, 2005

## RECYCLYING ENERGY: The Relationship Between Useful Work, Economic Growth & Energy Regulation

#### Thomas R. Casten



#### **Background Observations**

- Income largely determined by availability of energy services – useful work
- Fossil fuel use has spurred huge income gains and environmental damage
- Two thirds of fuel used for heat and power
- Economists assume that the power system is near optimal, given available technology
- Apparent governance dilemma: balance economic growth and a healthy biosphere

# Power System Is Not Optimal, Fails to Recycle Waste Energy

## Conventional Central Approach 1960 Data (& 2003 Data)



#### **Defining Recycled Energy**

- Recycled energy is useful energy derived from:
  - Exhaust heat from any industrial process or power generation
  - Industrial tail gas that would otherwise be flared, incinerated or vented,
  - Pressure drop in any gas

### Industrial Energy Options



#### Primary Energy's Approach 90 MW Recycled from Coke Production

#### **Recycled Industrial Energy Potential**

- Recycled industrial energy could supply 45 to 92 gigawatts (Per US EPA study)
  - 30% to 60% of US nuclear fleet
  - Could supply 19% of US power
  - Comparable numbers likely in other industrialized nations
- Recycled energy is as clean as renewable energy – no incremental fuel or emissions
- Only 9.9 gigawatts operating

#### Decentralized Generation Option Combined Heat and Power



# Potential for up to 50% of Electricity from CHP, based on Selected Countries in 2004



Skeptics Admit Local Generation Saves Fuel, But Claim Economies of Scale Make Central Generation Optimal

#### **Economies of Scale? Central versus Decentralized Generation**

	Generation	Transmission & Distribution	Total / kW of Generation	KW required/ kW Load	Total costs/ kW New Load
Conventional Central Generation	\$890	\$1380	\$2,270	1.52	\$3,450
Decentralized Generation	<u>\$1,200</u>	<u>\$138</u>	<u>\$1,338</u>	<u>1.07</u>	<u>\$1,432</u>
Savings (Loss) of Local vs Central Generation	(\$310)	\$1,242	\$1,068	0.47	\$2,018
Central Generation Capital as a % of DG Capital	<b>(74%)</b>	1000%	213%	142%	241%

Local generation that recycles energy is more efficient, less capital intensive, less polluting, and less vulnerable to extreme weather and terrorism than central generation

### Why Do Most Countries Keep Building Central Generation?

- Power industry is enormous with many players, so we assume market forces must drive industry towards optimality
- This suggests something must be wrong with analysis, but
- The flaw is in the assumption, power industry governance ignores lessons of economics

### Market Enabling Conditions Are Not Met in Any Country

- Free entry into the business
- Clear price signals
- Absence of price subsidies that distort decisions
- Charges for externalities

 Restriction of predatory practices by established firms against insurgent firms

Anti-trust rules are inverted in the electric power sector, helping incumbent monopolies block insurgent company innovation

### What is Economic Impact of Energy Inefficiency?

- Economists have not been overly concerned: Raw energy use does not correlate with income growth, seems to be only one of many inputs
- But useful work does matter
  - Ayres estimated useful work done every year of 20<sup>th</sup> century, factoring in all efficiencies in energy chain
  - Replaced 'TPF' with changes in useful work in three factor model and predicted observed growth

# Implications

 Changes in useful work appear to explin 7/8ths of observed income growth, but:

- Power system efficiency stagnated after 1960
- Century long fossil fuel price decline has reversed
- Mandates to deploy renewable energy are raising costs of energy services
- Income growth is not a given increasing costs of energy services could slow or even reverse income growth
- It is thus vital to optimize production of heat and power, which requires local generation that recycles waste energy

**Conclusion: Global economic and** environmental health depends upon the speed at which governments unleash competition in the world's largest industry: electric power generation

# Thank you



#### **Electricity Generation CO2 Performance versus GDP**



#### Growth of US Real GDP & Fossil Carbon Emissions, All Sectors of the Economy

