KU LEUVEN



Strengthening the EU Emission Trading System: Its impact, unintended consequences & overlapping policies

Kenneth Bruninx, Marten Ovaere, Kenneth Gillingham & Erik Delarue

1 High-level summary of the following papers

- [1] K. Bruninx, M. Ovaere, and E. Delarue. "The Long-Term Impact of the Market Stability Reserve on the EU Emission Trading System" Energy Economics, In press, June 2020.
- [2] K. Bruninx, M. Ovaere, K. Gillingham, and E. Delarue. "The unintended consequences of the EU ETS cancellation policy", 2019. KU Leuven Energy Institute Working Paper WP EN2019-11. Available online: <u>https://www.mech.kuleuven.be/en/tme/research/energy-systems-integration-modeling</u>
- [3] K. Bruninx & M. Ovaere, "Estimating the impact of COVID-19 on emissions and emission allowance prices under EU ETS", IAEE Energy Forum / Covid-19 Issue, 2020.





2 From EU ETS in simpler times....

2 To EU ETS & the Market Stability Reserve

3 Modeling abatement under EU ETS

- Abatement in power sector and energy-intensive industry in 2017-2061
- Simple representation of industry through abatement cost curves
- Starting from existing electricity generation capacity, every year utilities decide on capacity investments considering the expected profits from economic dispatch over their technical lifetime
- Nash equilibrium between utilities & energy-intensive industry, casted as a large-scale mixed complementary problem, incl. discrete triggers MSR





cap and emissions







4 The strengthened MSR advances the transition form coal/lignite to natural gas and from natural gas to renewables



Emissions in the power sector (PS) and energy-intensive industry Fuel shares in the power sector

4 What if...



- Effective cancellation highly uncertain (5.6 – 17.8 GtCO₂): depends on complementary policies (e.g. renewable energy targets, coal/nuclear phase-outs) and cost evolutions (e.g., investment cost reductions for wind and solar power)
- Feedback or reinforcing effect: MSR leads to less emissions if combined with increased LRF at expense of higher cost.

4 What if...



- Effective cancellation highly uncertain (5.6 – 17.8 GtCO₂): depends on complementary policies (e.g. renewable energy targets, coal/nuclear phase-outs) and cost evolutions (e.g., investment cost reductions for wind and solar power)
- Feedback or reinforcing effect: MSR leads to less emissions if combined with increased LRF at expense of higher cost.

4 Simulated MACC of the power sector is highly non-linear



Abatement (MtCO₂)

5 The feedback effect, revisited

Underlying mechanism:

If the cost of meeting the cap in the future increases:

- \rightarrow More banking
- \rightarrow Higher surplus today
- \rightarrow More EUAs absorbed & cancelled by the MSR
- \rightarrow Lower cumulative emissions
- Simplified model to expose this interaction:



5 The feedback effect, revisited



5 The feedback effect, revisited



- Simulations based on different MACC MAC = β_t · (E_t - q_t)^γ with **assumed** curvatures γ & baseline emissions E_t, **calibrated** slope β_t to reproduce average emission allowance prices in 2018 (20.7 €/tCO₂) at historical emission levels
- Higher curvature, higher growth rates baseline emissions or slower decrease in slope: cost of meeting the future cap increases
- For every tenfold increase in ratio MAC, cumulative emissions drop by 4.9 GtCO₂ (>11% cumulative cap)

5 The feedback effect & overlapping policies

- <u>Pre-MSR</u>: overlapping policies have no effect on cumulative emissions under EU ETS
- <u>Post-MSR</u>: overlapping policies affect current and future cost of meeting the cap, hence, may lead to counter-intuitive impact on cumulative emissions
- Simulation results based on policies targeting 1, 10 or 100 MtCO₂ today (2019), over the whole period (permanent) or far in the future (2050)
- Effectiveness equals 1 if policy results in intuitively expected result (e.g., policy aimed at reducing emissions by 1 MtCO₂ has effectiveness 1 if cumulative emissions drop by 1 MtCO₂)





See paper for effect of policies increasing emissions, increasing supply or decreasing supply

6 COVID-19 & EU ETS



- Is the price drop systemic or an overreaction of the market?
- How big is the impact of COVID-19 on emissions covered by EU ETS?
- Will the MSR remove the additional surplus of allowances?

6 COVID-19 & EU ETS

- Similar methodology as for study on feedback effect and overlapping policies
- Abatement cost curves calibrated on 2019 emissions & average 2019 prices (24.7 €/tCO₂)
- Estimated impact on emissions: -38 MtCO₂ EU ETS emissions per month of lockdown
- Three shocks, initially -120 MtCO₂ (3 month lockdown) or -240 MtCO₂ (6 month lockdown)
 - V-shaped: back to normal as of 2021
 - U-shaped: linearly back to normal by 2025
 - Permanent: 25% of shock becomes permanent after 2020
- Set of simulations based on demand shock as such to study systemic effect on EUA prices
- Set of simulations with exogenous EUA price shock, triggered by temporary change in discount rates, to simulate panic selling

6 COVID-19 & EU ETS



See paper for effect of other shapes of the demand shock

- A negative demand shock by itself has a negligible effect on prices and emissions (high effectiveness, white marker).
- A negative demand shock in combination with a temporary change in discount rates ('future is less important', panic selling), we find the kind of price decreases observed in the market.
- When the prices decrease, abatement is less profitable, hence, part of emission shock is offset by reduced abatement, which, combined with the delay in the MSR's actions, translates into a lower effectiveness of the MSR's cancellation policy.

7 Conclusions

- Introduction of MSR & LRF increase has significant impact on EUA prices and climate/energy policy:
 - 2018 jump in prices may be result of introduction MSR & increased LRF
 - Significant reduction in allowed cumulative emissions
- Some gaps in regulation (e.g., aviation), but more fundamental design flaws related to feedback effect & overlapping policies:
 - If it becomes more costly to meet the cap, we strengthen the cap;
 - National policies may (counterintuitively) affect cumulative emissions;
 - COVID-19 as a stresstest of the MSR reveals the wide range of possible outcomes & limited ability to cushion effect of price shocks on cumulative emissions.
- Revisions foreseen every 5 years, starting in 2021...

KU LEUVEN



Thank you for your attention! Questions?

References:

[1] K. Bruninx et al., 'The Long-Term Impact of the Market Stability Reserve on the EU Emission Trading System,' Energy Economics, Forthcoming, 2020.

[2] K. Bruninx et al., 'The unintended consequences of the EU ETS cancellation policy', 2019. KU Leuven Energy Institute Working Paper WP EN2019-11. Available online: <u>https://www.mech.kuleuven.be/en/tme/research/energy_environment/Pdf/wp-en2019-11</u>

[3] K. Bruninx & M. Ovaere, 'Estimating the impact of COVID-19 on emissions and emission allowance prices under EU ETS', IAEE Energy Forum / Covid-19 Issue, 2020.